

<b>focus of argument</b>	<b>in favour of immigration</b>	<b>against immigration</b>
use of language	„illegal aliens“ criminalises the immigrants, better call them 'undocumented'	they break the law, thus they are 'illegal aliens', 'undocumented' is too vague
amnesty	those who have integrated have become productive citizens	it would support illegal behaviour, create the wrong incentive, unfair to 'legal' immigrants
security	illegal immigrants are not terrorists, government should focus on actual terrorists	terrorists may exploit immigration policies

<b>focus of argument</b>	<b>in favour of immigration</b>	<b>against immigration</b>
the border	building a wall is never a good answer	create a more fortified border with more personnel
economy	immigrants contribute to the economy; bring investments and increase productivity	the money earned goes back to Mexico; immigrants take advantage of healthcare; taxpayers pay the costs
costs for society	contribute more to society than they take away from it	they don't pay as much in taxes as US citizens

<b>focus of argument</b>	<b>in favour of immigration</b>	<b>against immigration</b>
deportation	deportation is expensive; could create the danger of racial profiling; how to deport millions	it's the easiest way; creates a disincentive to come back; will also hold back other potential immigrants
society	bring new types of food into the 'salad bowl'; it is a free country	immigrants keep to their own culture instead of integrating into society